CONFIDENTIAL

Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) Surveillance Monitoring of ART Toxicities (SMARTT) Study Annual Administrative Report

Report Date: April 24, 2020

Data Retrieval Date: April 1, 2020

Created By:
Yanling Huo
Alessandra Kovac
Kathy Tassiopoulos
Paige Williams

Data Management by:
Alexandria DiPerna

Funding: The Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network is supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development with co-funding from other NIH institutes through cooperative agreements with the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health [grant number HD052102] and the Tulane University School of Medicine [HD052104].

Use: This report is intended primarily for generating data analysis concept sheets and substudy proposals for PHACS. Information contained in the report also may be used in presentations and published manuscripts with acknowledgement or citation.

Suggested Citation for Publications: Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) SMARTT Annual Administrative Report, April 24, 2020. Boston, MA: PHACS Data and Operations Center. Accessed from https://phacsstudy.org/. Date accessed: [insert date].

Tables:

Table 1: SMARTT - Study Enrollment by Cohort and Quarter	7
Table 2: SMARTT - Study Enrollment by Site	9
Table 3: SMARTT - Enrollment by Previous Protocol Participation (Static cohort only)	10
Table 4: SMARTT - Enrollment Demographics	11
Table 5: SMARTT - Baseline Maternal Pregnancy and Delivery History Characteristics	12
Table 6: SMARTT - Study Status	13
Table 7: SMARTT - Age at Last Scheduled Clinic Visit Among Participants Still on Study	13
Table 8: SMARTT - Entry Visit Status	14
Table 9: Bayley Screen for Three Year-Olds	15
Table 10: Age-specific ND Assessments	16
Table 11: SMARTT Caregiver-Reported Hearing Information at Entry	17
Table 12: SMARTT Age-Specific Language Assessments	18
Table 13: SMARTT Growth Data at Entry	18
Table 14: Maternal Substance Use During Pregnancy in SMARTT Dynamic Cohort	19
Table 15: Maternal Substance Use During Pregnancy in SMARTT Static Cohort	21
Table 16: Maternal ARV Exposure by Birth Year, Static and Dynamic Cohorts Combined	23

Figures:

Figure 1: In Utero NRTI Exposures by Year of Birth	24
Figure 2: In Utero NNRTI Exposures by Year of Birth	24
Figure 3: In Utero PI Exposures by Year of Birth	25
Figure 4: In Utero FI/II Exposures by Year of Birth	25
Figure 5: Five Most Common in Utero ARV Regimens by Year of Birth	26

Summary

As of April 1, 2020, 4704 children and 3584 caregivers enrolled in SMARTT (Table 1). As shown in the table, there were 3225 children in the dynamic cohort and 1240 in the static cohort (enrollment for the static cohort was closed on July 31, 2009). Starting in March 2010, a cohort of HIV-unexposed, uninfected children was enrolled to serve as a reference group; the enrollment target was 50 each from four specific age groups (1, 3, 5, and 9 years old). After enrollment into the reference cohort began, echocardiograms were added to the schedule of evaluations for 3- and 5-year olds. In order to ensure a sufficient number of echocardiograms, 35 additional children from the 3- and 5-year old groups were enrolled into a modified reference cohort. A total of 239 children were enrolled in the reference cohort and evaluations are now complete. These children completed a limited set of evaluations including echocardiograms.

Enrollment by site is summarized in <u>Table 2</u>. For the static cohort, most children (79%) previously participated in a PACTG/IMPAACT protocol: 24% in P1025 only; 23% in 219/219C; 13% in WITS only; 7% in 219/219C and WITS; 6% in 219C and P1025; 2% in 219C, P1025 and WITS (<u>Table 3</u>). Approximately half of the children enrolled were male (<u>Table 4</u>). Most children were Black or African American (66%), and 30% were of Hispanic ethnicity. The median age at enrollment of children in the static cohort was 4.1 years. The numbers of children enrolled in the different age groups of the reference cohort are: 52 one-year olds; 67 three-year olds; 71 five-year olds and 49 nine-year olds.

As shown in Table 5, 76% of infants were born full-term (≥ 37 weeks gestation) and the majority weighed at least 2500 g (79%). Forty-two percent of infants delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery, 38% delivered by C-section before labor/membrane rupture and 13% delivered by C-section after labor/membrane rupture. [Note that the dynamic cohort allows study entry during gestation; thus birth characteristics may not yet be available]. Of the children enrolled in the dynamic and static cohorts, 81% and 55% children are still on study as of the last data retrieval date, respectively; 485 (15%) dynamic and 282 (23%) static children were withdrawn / lost to follow-up; and 56 (2%) dynamic and 52 (4%) static children were off study because of site closure (Table 6). A total of 42 children were off study because of death including 1 due to the death of parent. Thirteen dynamic children have gone off study due to HIV infection. Among dynamic and static children who were still on study, the median age at the last scheduled clinic visit were 5.2 and 14.9 years, respectively (Table 7). Overall, 97% of the children enrolled completed the entry visit and 88% of visits were done on time or early (Table 8). Nine children missed the entry visit and 103 (2%) children dropped out of the study before the first study visit.

Tables 9-13 contain some initial summaries of key measures across domains of interest for SMARTT, including cognitive assessments (Table 9-Table 10), hearing and language assessments (Table 11-Table 12), and growth data (Table 13).

Table 9 summarizes the results of the Bayley Screen for three-year olds. Of the participants assessed, 34-55% of the dynamic cohort, 30-53% of the static cohort and 16-49% of the reference cohort were at risk (at risk/some risk) of developmental delay across the different sub-domains, with the highest percentage for cognitive delay and lowest percentage for gross motor delay. Overall, at least 62 participants were identified to require further evaluation for delay in at least one of the assessed skills categories.

Neurodevelopment assessments for other ages are summarized in <u>Table 10</u>, including the Full Bayley-III (1-year olds), WPPSI (5-year olds), WASI, WIAT and BRIEF-PR (Parent-Report). Ages at administering WASI, WIAT and BRIEF-PR have been changed across the different versions of protocol; they were administered at odd years of age starting at 7 years old before version 4 protocol, then have been changed thereafter to be administered only at 9 years of age. The normative scores for the Bayley -III, WPPSI, WASI and WIAT have a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15, with a higher score indicating a better performance. The normative scores for the BRIEF have a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10, with a higher score indicating a worse performance. As shown in the table, the mean scores across different neurodevelopment batteries were mostly within 5 – 10 points of the general population norms.

Information related to caregiver-reported hearing information is summarized in <u>Table 11</u>. Among participants who submitted a demographic form at entry visit, 27% of children (27% of dynamic cohort, 29% of static cohort and 21% of reference cohort) had an audiologic exam before enrolling in the study, of whom 8 (1 from dynamic and 7 from the static cohort) were identified with permanent hearing loss in 1 or 2 ears. Summary measures for the age-specific language assessments are provided in <u>Table 12</u>, including the MacArthur CDI (1-year olds), Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ: 2-year olds), TELD (3-year olds), and TOLD (5-year olds). The normative scores are 50th percentile for the CDI, and 100 (standard deviation: 15) for TELD and TOLD. The general population means are age specific for the ASQ. As shown in the table, the mean scores of MacArthur CDI, TELD and TOLD were mostly within 5 – 10 points of the general population; the means of ASQ were 44 for dynamic and 45 for static children.

The growth measurements in <u>Table 13</u> suggest that infants enrolled in the dynamic cohort were below average length and weight at birth, while those in the static cohort and reference cohort were above average height/length and weight for their ages at the time of study entry. The mean BMI (≥ 2 years old) for the static cohort and reference cohort were also higher than average.

Table 14 and Table 15 summarize self-reported maternal substance use during pregnancy by trimester of pregnancy, for the dynamic and static cohort, respectively. Calculations are based on the number of children with maternal substance use data available. Overall, 896 (29%) mothers in the dynamic cohort and 275 (27%) mothers in the static cohort reported some substance use (licit or illicit) at some point during their pregnancy. Substance use was highest during the first trimester and then decreased;

cigarette smoking (18% of dynamic cohort; 19% of static cohort) was the most frequently reported substance, followed by alcohol (9% of dynamic cohort; 7% of static cohort) and marijuana (9% dynamic cohort; 6% of static cohort).

In utero ARV exposure by birth year for the static and dynamic cohorts combined is summarized in <u>Table</u> <u>16</u>. Overall, of the 4276 children who completed a scheduled study visit, 4108 (96%) were exposed in utero to an ARV; 50 (1%) were exposed to ARV during labor and delivery only; while 36 (1%) were not exposed to any ARV during pregnancy, labor, or delivery. For 37% of the children, their mother received ARVs at conception.

Figure 1 - Figure 4 show the trends of in utero ARV exposure by year of birth, for each ARV class and the individual agents within each class. Calculations are based on the number of children with in utero ARV exposure data available. Prenatal exposure to NRTI-containing regimens remained very high (nearly 100%) across all birth years (Figure 1). There was a decreasing trend in zidovudine (ZDV) exposure over time (from 100% in 1995 to about 5% in 2019); lamivudine (3TC) exposure increased dramatically from about 5% in 1996 to more than 90% in 2000 and fluctuated around this percentage until 2005, then decreased thereafter with a similar trend as ZDV; abacavir (ABC) became a common NRTI agent received during pregnancy after 2001, with use decreasing after 2007 and fluctuating around 10% since 2012; tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) use increased from about 3% in 2002 to about 79% in 2015, then decreased thereafter with a simultaneously increased use of tenofovir alafenamide fumarate (TAF) (from 6% in 2016 to 55% in 2019); emtricitabine (FTC) use increased steadily from about 1% in 2004 to about 90% in 2019; in addition, TDF and FTC surpassed ZDV and 3TC as the most commonly used NRTI agents in 2012. The use of NNRTI-containing regimens increased from about 5% in 1996 to more than 30% in 2003, then decreased to about 10% between 2008 and 2011 and then increased again to about 34% in 2015 (Figure 2). Nevirapine (NPV) was the most commonly used NNRTI agent before 2009, with use increasing between 1996 and 2003, and decreasing since then (about 1% exposure in 2019); the use of efavirenz (EFV) was consistently low (less than 10%); the use of rilpivirine (RPV) increased since 2012 and became the most commonly used NNRTI agent (increased from 4% in 2012 to about 30% in 2015, and decreased a little since then). Starting in 1997, the use of PI-containing regimens increased to about 86% in 2010, and has decreased since then (Figure 3). Nelfinavir (NFV) was initially the most commonly-used PI, but in 2007 it was surpassed by use of ritonavir-boosted other Pls, and that trend continues. Lopinavir/r exposure increased between 2001 and 2009 and has decreased since then. There was also an increasing trend in the use of atazanavir between 2004 and 2013; use has decreased since then. The use of darunavir (DRV) increased from below 1% in 2007 to about 21% in 2019. The first reported use of a fusion inhibitor (FI) was in 2006 and of an integrase inhibitor (INSTI) was in 2008. Use of an INSTI agent increased consistently from about 1% in 2008 to 61% in 2019 (Figure 4). Use of the FIs enfurvirtide (ENF) and maraviroc (MVC) have remained low; use of the INSTI raltegravir (RAL) increased from about 1% in 2008 to about 15% in 2013, decreased to less than 10% in 2017 and

then increased to about 19% in 2019. Use of elvitegravir (EVG) paired with cobicistat (a non-ARV booster for EVG), and in combination with FTC and TDF (as Stribild) emerged in 2013 (or in combination with FTC and TAF as Genvoya since 2016) and increased from 1% to about 24% in 2019, although the use was decreased in 2018. Dolutegravir (DTG) use was first reported in 2014 at about 2% and increased to about 30% in 2018, decreasing to 23% in 2019. Use of bictegravir (BIC) in combination with FTC and TAF as Biktarvy emerged in 2018 and increased to 7% in 2019. The most commonly-used regimen has changed over time; ZDV monotherapy was the most commonly-used regimen before 1999, then ZDV+3TC in 1999, ZDV+3TC+NFV between 2000 and 2007, and ZDV+3TC+lopinavir/r after 2007 until 2014 when TDF+FTC+boosted ATV became the most frequently used regimen in 2014 and after 2018, and TDF+FTC+RPV from 2015 to 2017 (Figure 5).

The median duration of follow-up in SMARTT was 134 months among the static and 68 months among the dynamic participants. The follow-up time is based on the assumption that a participant is still on study as of the last data retrieval date unless known to have discontinued. It is not based on their last study visit.

Tables and Figures

Table 1: SMARTT - Study Enrollment by Cohort and Quarter

		Caregiver	Dynamic	Static	Reference
		(N=3584)	(N=3225)	(N=1240)	(N=239)
Enrollment quarter	2007 Q1	4 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2007 Q2	167 (5%)	28 (1%)	185 (15%)	0 (0%)
	2007 Q3	204 (6%)	51 (2%)	227 (18%)	0 (0%)
	2007 Q4	145 (4%)	51 (2%)	127 (10%)	0 (0%)
	2008 Q1	183 (5%)	79 (2%)	149 (12%)	0 (0%)
	2008 Q2	142 (4%)	77 (2%)	95 (8%)	0 (0%)
	2008 Q3	130 (4%)	79 (2%)	84 (7%)	0 (0%)
	2008 Q4	126 (4%)	80 (2%)	106 (9%)	0 (0%)
	2009 Q1	127 (4%)	89 (3%)	90 (7%)	0 (0%)
	2009 Q2	128 (4%)	79 (2%)	109 (9%)	0 (0%)
	2009 Q3	113 (3%)	81 (3%)	63 (5%)	0 (0%)
	2009 Q4	65 (2%)	78 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2010 Q1	56 (2%)	61 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
	2010 Q2	73 (2%)	73 (2%)	0 (0%)	8 (3%)
	2010 Q3	82 (2%)	72 (2%)	0 (0%)	30 (13%)
	2010 Q4	82 (2%)	61 (2%)	0 (0%)	38 (16%)
	2011 Q1	90 (3%)	74 (2%)	0 (0%)	41 (17%)
	2011 Q2	67 (2%)	63 (2%)	0 (0%)	25 (10%)
	2011 Q3	83 (2%)	61 (2%)	0 (0%)	52 (22%)
	2011 Q4	58 (2%)	65 (2%)	0 (0%)	5 (2%)
	2012 Q1	56 (2%)	57 (2%)	0 (0%)	10 (4%)
	2012 Q2	66 (2%)	74 (2%)	0 (0%)	12 (5%)
	2012 Q3	49 (1%)	56 (2%)	0 (0%)	7 (3%)
	2012 Q4	43 (1%)	49 (2%)	0 (0%)	6 (3%)
	2013 Q1	50 (1%)	64 (2%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)
	2013 Q2	58 (2%)	73 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2013 Q3	61 (2%)	75 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2013 Q4	53 (1%)	67 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2014 Q1	54 (2%)	65 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2014 Q2	47 (1%)	61 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2014 Q3	43 (1%)	58 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2014 Q4	43 (1%)	60 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	2015 Q1	51 (1%)	64 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

	Caregiver	Dynamic	Static	Reference
	(N=3584)	(N=3225)	(N=1240)	(N=239)
2015 Q2	46 (1%)	62 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2015 Q3	52 (1%)	68 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2015 Q4	44 (1%)	77 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2016 Q1	40 (1%)	56 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2016 Q2	38 (1%)	51 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2016 Q3	42 (1%)	57 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2016 Q4	33 (1%)	46 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2017 Q1	42 (1%)	67 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2017 Q2	37 (1%)	56 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2017 Q3	35 (1%)	42 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2017 Q4	32 (1%)	49 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2018 Q1	38 (1%)	54 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2018 Q2	51 (1%)	67 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2018 Q3	36 (1%)	48 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2018 Q4	31 (1%)	49 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2019 Q1	46 (1%)	62 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2019 Q2	39 (1%)	49 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2019 Q3	25 (1%)	39 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2019 Q4	46 (1%)	54 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
2020 Q1	32 (1%)	47 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Reference cohort started enrolling in Q1 of 2010 Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Table 2: SMARTT - Study Enrollment by Site

			Cohort	
	Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
	(N=4704)	(N=3225)	(N=1240)	(N=239)
Enrollment site NYU MEDICAL CTR/BELLEVUE	146 (3%)	88 (3%)	58 (5%)	0 (0%)
UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM *	230 (5%)	177 (5%)	29 (2%)	24 (10%)
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	398 (8%)	314 (10%)	84 (7%)	0 (0%)
SAN JUAN RESEARCH HOSPITAL	141 (3%)	80 (2%)	61 (5%)	0 (0%)
ST. JUDE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH HOSPITAL *	360 (8%)	292 (9%)	44 (4%)	24 (10%)
SUNY DOWNSTATE MEDICAL CENTER *	283 (6%)	142 (4%)	119 (10%)	22 (9%)
UNIV OF COLORADO HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER	251 (5%)	187 (6%)	64 (5%)	0 (0%)
NEW JERSEY MEDICAL CENTER	128 (3%)	120 (4%)	8 (1%)	0 (0%)
SUNY STONY BROOK MEDICAL CENTER	25 (1%)	8 (0%)	17 (1%)	0 (0%)
CHILDREN'S DIAG AND TREAT CTR (S FLOR) *	167 (4%)	119 (4%)	22 (2%)	26 (11%)
BRONX/LEBANON HOSPITAL CENTER *	474 (10%)	296 (9%)	137 (11%)	41 (17%)
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA HEALTH SCIENCE CTR	319 (7%)	221 (7%)	98 (8%)	0 (0%)
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS (CHICAGO) *	245 (5%)	136 (4%)	88 (7%)	21 (9%)
UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO MEDICAL CENTER	232 (5%)	142 (4%)	90 (7%)	0 (0%)
CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF PHILADELPHIA	27 (1%)	20 (1%)	7 (1%)	0 (0%)
UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI *	435 (9%)	356 (11%)	40 (3%)	39 (16%)
TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL (BAYLOR) *	273 (6%)	167 (5%)	82 (7%)	24 (10%)
UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCE CTR (TULANE) *	129 (3%)	100 (3%)	11 (1%)	18 (8%)
UCSD MEDICAL CENTER	121 (3%)	83 (3%)	38 (3%)	0 (0%)
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND MED CTR	42 (1%)	24 (1%)	18 (1%)	0 (0%)
JACOBI MEDICAL CENTER	35 (1%)	12 (0%)	23 (2%)	0 (0%)
CHILDREN'S MEMORIAL HOSPITAL OF CHICAGO	243 (5%)	141 (4%)	102 (8%)	0 (0%)

Sites with * enroll reference cohort Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Table 3: SMARTT - Enrollment by Previous Protocol Participation (Static cohort only)

Protocol group	Studies	Static (N=1240)
No Previous Protocol	None	264 (21%)
219/219C/P1025	P1025+NATURAL HISTORY	1 (0%)
	P1025	293 (24%)
	219C+P1025	75 (6%)
	219/219C	291 (23%)
	219	2 (0%)
	Total	662 (53%)
WITS Only	WITS	159 (13%)
219/219C/P1025+WITS	P1025+WITS	5 (0%)
	219C+P1025+WITS	20 (2%)
	219/219C+WITS	90 (7%)
	Total	115 (9%)
Other PACTG/IMPAACT	PACTG 1039	3 (0%)
	PACTG 1025	1 (0%)
	PACTG 1022	1 (0%)
	ACTG A5084	2 (0%)
	ACTG 394	1 (0%)
	ACTG 367	1 (0%)
	ACTG 354	1 (0%)
	ACTG 353	2 (0%)
	ACTG 326	2 (0%)
	ACTG 316/247	1 (0%)
	ACTG 316	7 (1%)
	ACTG 247	1 (0%)
	Total	23 (2%)
Other	PACTG 386	1 (0%)
	NATURAL HISTORY	15 (1%)
	CHS	1 (0%)
	Total	17 (1%)

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Above table summarizes enrollment in previous studies based on the information provided at PHACS enrollment. 219/219C, P1025, and WITS enrollment information was captured for all static participants. However, enrollment information for other studies was only captured at PHACS enrollment for participants who did not participate in 219/219C, P1025, or WITS. Children in the dynamic cohort may also be enrolled in other studies (e.g. P1025).

Table 4: SMARTT - Enrollment Demographics

		Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
		(N=4704)	(N=3225)	(N=1240)	(N=239)
Sex	Male	2,391 (51%)	1,629 (51%)	643 (52%)	119 (50%)
	Female	2,216 (47%)	1,499 (46%)	597 (48%)	120 (50%)
	Missing - Form not submitted	97 (2%)	97 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Age* at enrollment (yrs)	Median (Q1, Q3)	4.1 (2.0, 7.0)	. (., .)	4.1 (2.0, 7.0)	4.7 (2.8, 5.2)
	Birth, <1**	3,254 (69%)	3,225 (100%)	29 (2%)	0 (0%)
	1-2	404 (9%)	0 (0%)	352 (28%)	52 (22%)
	3-4	358 (8%)	0 (0%)	291 (23%)	67 (28%)
	5-6	277 (6%)	0 (0%)	206 (17%)	71 (30%)
	7-12	411 (9%)	0 (0%)	362 (29%)	49 (21%)
Race	Asian	24 (1%)	13 (0%)	7 (1%)	4 (2%)
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	4 (0%)	3 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)
	Black or African American	3,110 (66%)	2,175 (67%)	765 (62%)	170 (71%)
	White	1,199 (25%)	790 (24%)	350 (28%)	59 (25%)
	American Indian	7 (0%)	6 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)
	More than One Race	133 (3%)	110 (3%)	23 (2%)	0 (0%)
	Participant does not want to report	8 (0%)	3 (0%)	4 (0%)	1 (0%)
	Participant does not know	94 (2%)	58 (2%)	32 (3%)	4 (2%)
	Race not available to clinic	125 (3%)	67 (2%)	57 (5%)	1 (0%)
Ethnicity	Hispanic or Latino	1,396 (30%)	910 (28%)	432 (35%)	54 (23%)
	Not Hispanic or Latino	3,298 (70%)	2,309 (72%)	806 (65%)	183 (77%)
	More than one ethnicity	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	Participant does not want to report	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)
	Participant does not know	4 (0%)	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)
	Ethnicity not available to clinic	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)

^{*}Age is rounded to the nearest year. So a child who enrolled at 4 years 11 months is in the 5-6 year group. Age at enrollment for reference cohort is 1, 3, 5 or 9 years old
** Birth is for dynamic, <1 for static participants

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Table 5: SMARTT - Baseline Maternal Pregnancy and Delivery History Characteristics

				Cohort	
		Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
Characteristic		(N=4704)	(N=3225)	(N=1240)	(N=239)
Gestational Age (weeks) at	< 32	101 (2%)	65 (2%)	31 (3%)	5 (2%)
Birth *					
	32 - < 37	716 (15%)	485 (15%)	206 (17%)	25 (10%)
	≥ 37	3,557 (76%)	2,517 (78%)	860 (69%)	180 (75%)
	Unknown	330 (7%)	158 (5%)	143 (12%)	29 (12%)
Weight at Birth	< 2500 g	792 (17%)	536 (17%)	219 (18%)	37 (15%)
	≥ 2500 g	3,693 (79%)	2,543 (79%)	959 (77%)	191 (80%)
	Unknown	219 (5%)	146 (5%)	62 (5%)	11 (5%)
Mode of Delivery	Spontaneous Vaginal	1,998 (42%)	1,294 (40%)	556 (45%)	148 (62%)
	Assisted Vaginal (Forceps, Vacuum)	77 (2%)	37 (1%)	25 (2%)	15 (6%)
	C-Section Before Labor/Membrane Rupture	1,791 (38%)	1,305 (40%)	449 (36%)	37 (15%)
	C-Section After Labor/Membrane Rupture	597 (13%)	437 (14%)	132 (11%)	28 (12%)
	Unknown Delivery Mode	241 (5%)	152 (5%)	78 (6%)	11 (5%)
Apgar Score at One Minute	< 7	410 (9%)	318 (10%)	92 (7%)	0 (0%)
	≥ 7	3,671 (78%)	2,716 (84%)	955 (77%)	0 (0%)
	Unknown	623 (13%)	191 (6%)	193 (16%)	239 (100%)
Apgar Score at Minute Five	< 7	92 (2%)	75 (2%)	17 (1%)	0 (0%)
	≥ 7	3,994 (85%)	2,963 (92%)	1,031 (83%)	0 (0%)
	Unknown	618 (13%)	187 (6%)	192 (15%)	239 (100%)

^{*} Gestational age is first based on obstetrical gestational age data and when not available, then pediatric gestational age.

Missing data are due to either no pregnancy history forms submitted or submission of incomplete form.

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/infantbl.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 6: SMARTT - Study Status

	Total (N=4704)	Dynamic (N=3225)	Static (N=1240)	Reference (N=239)
Study status Still on study	3,306 (70%)	2,624 (81%)	682 (55%)	0 (0%)
Completed study	439 (9%)	0 (0%)	208 (17%)	231 (97%)
Enrollment error / eligibility failure*	15 (0%)	13 (0%)	2 (0%)	0 (0%)
Death of participant/parent/guardian	42 (1%)	39 (1%)	3 (0%)	0 (0%)
Withdrawal / loss to follow-up	774 (16%)	485 (15%)	282 (23%)	7 (3%)
Site closure	108 (2%)	56 (2%)	52 (4%)	0 (0%)
Other reason	20 (0%)	8 (0%)	11 (1%)	1 (0%)

^{* 13} Dynamic children in the Enrollment error/eligibility failure category are HIV-infected Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Table 7: SMARTT - Age at Last Scheduled Clinic Visit Among Participants Still on Study

			Cohort		
Characteristic		Total (N=3306)	Dynamic (N=2624)	Static (N=682)	
Age (years) at Last Scheduled Clinical Visit	Median (Min, Max)	7.1 (0.0, 23.2)	5.2 (0.0, 12.7)	14.9 (10.8, 23.2)	
	0	256 (8%)	256 (10%)	0 (0%)	
	1-2	387 (12%)	387 (15%)	0 (0%)	
	3-4	446 (13%)	446 (17%)	0 (0%)	
	5-6	451 (14%)	451 (17%)	0 (0%)	
	7-12	1,192 (36%)	1,082 (41%)	110 (16%)	
	13-15	387 (12%)	2 (0%)	385 (56%)	
	>15	187 (6%)	0 (0%)	187 (27%)	

^{*} For participants who did not attend the scheduled study visits, the scheduled clinical visit date was used to calculate the age. For dynamic participants not yet born or just born and study visit form not submitted by the time of data retrieval, the age was set as 0 in above table.

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/currage.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 8: SMARTT - Entry Visit Status

			Cohort	
Visit status	Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
Visit reported	4549 (97%)	3113 (97%)	1200 (97%)	236 (99%)
Early	28 (1%)	3 (0%)	21 (2%)	4 (2%)
In window	4099 (87%)	2897 (90%)	999 (81%)	203 (85%)
Late	422 (9%)	213 (7%)	180 (15%)	29 (12%)
No visit reported	155 (3%)	112 (3%)	40 (3%)	3 (1%)
Delinquent	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
In window	42 (1%)	42 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Missed visit	9 (0%)	9 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Off study	103 (2%)	60 (2%)	40 (3%)	3 (1%)

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/programs/qad.sas on April 1, 2020

Table (above) reports on the status and timeliness of the entry visit for all enrolled participants. This is based on the Visit Report form (PH5801) and the participant's birthday. For the static cohort and reference cohort, the allowed visit window is the birthday +/-90 days.

For the dynamic cohort, the permitted visit window is from after birth up to two weeks. A visit is Delinquent if no visit has been reported, the visit window has passed, and an additional 2 weeks have passed (to allow time for submission of PH5801). This is a total of 4 weeks from an infant's birthdate. For those infants with no recorded birthdate, an estimated delivery date has been generated based on 43 weeks of gestation (or the visit date on PH5801).

Table 9: Bayley Screen for Three Year-Olds

				Cohort	
		Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
ND Assessment		(N=1560)	(N=1242)	(N=275)	(N=43)
Cognitive	At Risk for Devl Delay/Further Eval Needed	60 (4%)	49 (4%)	11 (4%)	0 (0%)
	Some Risk for Devl Delay	795 (51%)	639 (51%)	135 (49%)	21 (49%)
	Low Risk for Devl Delay	705 (45%)	554 (45%)	129 (47%)	22 (51%)
Receptive Communication	At Risk for Devl Delay/Further Eval Needed	46 (3%)	35 (3%)	11 (4%)	0 (0%)
	Some Risk for Devl Delay	589 (38%)	478 (38%)	97 (35%)	14 (33%)
	Low Risk for Devl Delay	922 (59%)	726 (58%)	167 (61%)	29 (67%)
	Not Done	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Expressive Communication	At Risk for Devl Delay/Further Eval Needed	62 (4%)	53 (4%)	9 (3%)	0 (0%)
	Some Risk for Devl Delay	649 (42%)	531 (43%)	108 (39%)	10 (23%)
	Low Risk for Devl Delay	844 (54%)	653 (53%)	158 (57%)	33 (77%)
	Not Done	5 (0%)	5 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Fine Motor	At Risk for Devl Delay/Further Eval Needed	37 (2%)	29 (2%)	8 (3%)	0 (0%)
	Some Risk for Devl Delay	606 (39%)	503 (40%)	91 (33%)	12 (28%)
	Low Risk for Devl Delay	914 (59%)	707 (57%)	176 (64%)	31 (72%)
	Not Done	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Gross Motor	At Risk for Devl Delay/Further Eval Needed	33 (2%)	27 (2%)	6 (2%)	0 (0%)
	Some Risk for Devl Delay	484 (31%)	399 (32%)	78 (28%)	7 (16%)
	Low Risk for Devl Delay	1,039 (67%)	812 (65%)	191 (69%)	36 (84%)
	Not Done	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

 ${\it Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/det/progs/ND assess.sas\ on\ April\ 23,\ 2020}$

Table 10: Age-specific ND Assessments

		Cohort					
		D	ynamic		Static	ı	Reference
ND Assessment	Score	N	Mean (S.D)	N	Mean (S.D)	N	Mean (S.D)
Bayley-III (1 year old)	Cognitive, Composite		102.7 (14.1)	146	102.9 (15.2)	49	102.2 (14.0)
	Language, Composite	1684	94.8 (13.6)	145	93.2 (14.7)	49	95.1 (12.5)
	Motor, Composite	1675	97.3 (12.7)	145	96.9 (15.9)	49	100.9 (14.7)
	Social-Emotional, Composite	1641	101.6 (17.8)	142	101.6 (18.5)	49	100.5 (16.4)
	General Adaptive, Composite	1637	94.7 (14.3)	142	93.4 (15.0)	49	92.3 (11.3)
WPPSI (5 years old)	Full Scale IQ	916	94.3 (15.0)	445	94.6 (14.5)	47	93.6 (15.6)
	Performance IQ	935	96.5 (15.4)	447	97.3 (15.2)	47	95.6 (15.3)
	Verbal IQ	932	92.4 (14.0)	447	92.1 (12.9)	47	91.6 (13.9)
	Processing Speed IQ	893	95.0 (15.5)	442	96.3 (15.9)	47	95.0 (15.4)
	General Language IQ	557	92.8 (13.4)	210	91.0 (14.1)	19	91.1 (11.4)
WASI (7+ odd years old)*	Full Scale IQ	371	100.8 (15.7)	781	97.9 (14.0)	48	100.8 (12.6)
	Performance IQ	371	96.9 (13.6)	781	95.2 (13.1)	49	95.3 (13.0)
	Verbal IQ	371	104.4 (18.8)	781	101.0 (16.0)	48	105.8 (14.2)
WIAT (7+ odd years old)*	Word Reading, Standard Score	364	97.8 (17.4)	779	97.3 (16.1)	49	97.1 (15.0)
	Spelling, Standard Score	363	97.0 (18.9)	776	97.8 (16.5)	48	98.8 (15.8)
	Numerical Operations, Standard Score	363	93.5 (17.5)	779	94.0 (16.6)	49	94.5 (15.5)
BRIEF Parent-Report (7+ odd years old)*	Behavioral Regulation Index, T-Score	409	52.1 (11.7)	731	53.6 (12.2)	46	53.3 (12.2)
	Metacognition Index, T-Score	408	51.7 (11.9)	730	52.8 (11.8)	46	52.6 (11.8)
	Global Executive Composite, T-Score	408	51.9 (11.9)	730	53.3 (12.1)	46	52.9 (11.7)

^{*} WASI, WIAT AND BRIEF-PR: For participants completing an assessment at more than one time point, the earliest assessment is used.

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/det/progs/NDassess.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 11: SMARTT Caregiver-Reported Hearing Information at Entry

				Cohort	
		Total	Dynamic	Static	Reference
Characteristic		(N=4540)	(N=3109)	(N=1195)	(N=236)
Concerns about hearing?	Yes	138 (3%)	52 (2%)	77 (6%)	9 (4%)
	No	4,349 (96%)	3,012 (97%)	1,111 (93%)	226 (96%)
	Unknown	53 (1%)	45 (1%)	7 (1%)	1 (0%)
Child has repeated ear infections?	Yes	124 (3%)	0 (0%)	102 (9%)	22 (9%)
	No	4,363 (96%)	3,064 (99%)	1,086 (91%)	213 (90%)
	Unknown	53 (1%)	45 (1%)	7 (1%)	1 (0%)
Child failed hearing screening?	Yes	155 (3%)	79 (3%)	65 (5%)	11 (5%)
	No	4,332 (95%)	2,985 (96%)	1,123 (94%)	224 (95%)
	Unknown	53 (1%)	45 (1%)	7 (1%)	1 (0%)
Child tested by audiologist?	Yes	1,236 (27%)	837 (27%)	349 (29%)	50 (21%)
	No	3,251 (72%)	2,227 (72%)	839 (70%)	185 (78%)
	Unknown	53 (1%)	45 (1%)	7 (1%)	1 (0%)
Perm hearing loss in 1-2 ears?	Yes	8 (0%)	1 (0%)	7 (1%)	0 (0%)
	No	1,227 (27%)	835 (27%)	342 (29%)	50 (21%)
	Test not done	3,251 (72%)	2,227 (72%)	839 (70%)	185 (78%)
	Unknown	54 (1%)	46 (1%)	7 (1%)	1 (0%)

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/hearlang.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 12: SMARTT Age-Specific Language Assessments

		Dynamic			Static	Reference	
Language		Total	Mean	Total	Mean	Total	
Assessment		N	(S.D.)	N	(S.D.)	N	Mean (S.D.)
CDI (1 year old)	Phrases Understood	1888	56.8 (27.5)	169	50.4 (27.8)	47	52.6 (27.8)
	Vocabulary Comprehension	1888	47.4 (29.9)	169	43.6 (29.7)	47	44.7 (31.1)
	Words Production	1888	49.1 (21.9)	169	45.0 (21.2)	47	56.3 (20.0)
	A-E Total Gestures	1889	49.7 (28.3)	169	40.6 (28.8)	47	55.9 (30.9)
ASQ (2 years old)	Total Score	1819	44.0 (15.6)	269	45.1 (15.7)		. (.)
TELD (3 years old)	Receptive Language	37	98.9 (16.0)	286	98.8 (14.4)	37	97.8 (11.1)
	Expressive Language	37	98.9 (13.3)	286	99.6 (14.7)	37	102.6 (10.9)
	Spoken Language Quotient	37	95.6 (22.6)	286	99.5 (17.4)	37	98.1 (19.8)
TOLD (5 years old)	Spoken Language	844	91.9 (14.6)	424	90.1 (14.1)	45	87.9 (14.3)
	Listening	846	97.3 (14.4)	424	94.6 (13.6)	45	92.0 (13.4)
	Speaking	845	92.0 (15.0)	424	91.6 (13.9)	45	90.2 (13.6)
	Syntax	844	89.2 (14.5)	424	89.4 (14.5)	45	89.0 (13.7)

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/hearlang.sas on April 23, 2020

Table 13: SMARTT Growth Data at Entry

	Dynamic		Static			Reference			
Score	N	Mean	S.D.	N	Mean	S.D.	N	Mean	S.D.
Height or Length Z-score	3081	-0.11	1.03	1191	0.31	1.13	235	0.34	1.15
Weight Z-score	3085	-0.56	0.86	1193	0.51	1.25	235	0.20	1.21
BMI Z-score (≥ 2 years old)				844	0.63	1.30	172	0.08	1.56

BMI is not calculated for children < 2 years.

Z-scores for premature participants are adjusted for newborn and 1-year olds.

Extreme growth Z-scores (> 6 in absolute values) were excluded from calculations and will be queried.

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/det/progs/growthnut.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 14: Maternal Substance Use During Pregnancy in SMARTT Dynamic Cohort

		Trimester				
		Any				
		Trimester (N=3048)	First (N=3048)	Second (N=3048)	Third (N=3048)	
Any Substance Use	Yes	896 (29%)	777 (25%)	502 (16%)	460 (15%)	
Any Substance Ose	No	2,150 (71%)	, ,	•	2,586 (85%)	
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	
Tahaasa						
Tobacco	Yes No	536 (18%)	504 (17%)	335 (11%)	285 (9%) 2,749 (90%)	
	Unknown	2,510 (82%) 2 (0%)	2,530 (83%) 14 (0%)	2,699 (89%) 14 (0%)	2,749 (90%) 14 (0%)	
Alcohol	Yes	279 (9%)	251 (8%)	74 (2%)	53 (2%)	
	No 	2,767 (91%)			2,991 (98%)	
	Unknown	2 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	
Marijuana	Yes	282 (9%)	251 (8%)	126 (4%)	93 (3%)	
	No	2,764 (91%)	2,791 (92%)	2,916 (96%)	2,949 (97%)	
	Unknown	2 (0%)	6 (0%)	6 (0%)	6 (0%)	
Cocaine	Yes	65 (2%)	53 (2%)	36 (1%)	26 (1%)	
	No	2,981 (98%)	2,993 (98%)	3,010 (99%)	3,020 (99%)	
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	
Antidepressants	Yes	130 (4%)	85 (3%)	67 (2%)	71 (2%)	
	No	2,914 (96%)	2,959 (97%)	2,977 (98%)	2,973 (98%)	
	Unknown	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	
Pain Medications	Yes	136 (4%)	58 (2%)	67 (2%)	64 (2%)	
	No	2,908 (95%)	2,986 (98%)	2,977 (98%)	2,980 (98%)	
	Unknown	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	
Methadone	Yes	20 (1%)	17 (1%)	19 (1%)	20 (1%)	
	No	3,023 (99%)	` '			
	Unknown	5 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	
Heroin	Yes	14 (0%)	11 (0%)	6 (0%)	5 (0%)	
	No	` ,	3,034 (100%)	,	` '	
	Unknown	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	
Sedative	Yes	15 (0%)	9 (0%)	7 (0%)	6 (0%)	
Jouanne	No	3,030 (99%)		3,038 (100%)		
	Unknown	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	
Mathanahatani			` ,			
Methamphetamines	Yes	17 (1%)	16 (1%)	7 (0%)	4 (0%)	
	No	3,029 (99%)		3,039 (100%)		
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	

			Trim	ester	
		Any Trimester (N=3048)	First (N=3048)	Second (N=3048)	Third (N=3048)
Ecstasy	Yes	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Locidoy	No	` '	, ,	3,046 (100%)	` '
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
PCP	Yes	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)
	No	3,043 (100%)	3,043 (100%)	3,044 (100%)	3,044 (100%)
	Unknown	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)
Opium	Yes	5 (0%)	2 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)
	No	3,041 (100%)	3,044 (100%)	3,043 (100%)	3,043 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
Other Drug	Yes	17 (1%)	11 (0%)	8 (0%)	7 (0%)
	No	3,028 (99%)	3,034 (100%)	3,037 (100%)	3,038 (100%)
	Unknown	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)
Stimulants	Yes	7 (0%)	5 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	No	3,039 (100%)	3,041 (100%)	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
Barbiturates	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	No	3,045 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
Amphetamines	Yes	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	No	3,044 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
Inhalants	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)
	No	3,045 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,046 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)
LSD	No	3,043 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,045 (100%)	3,045 (100%)
	Unknown	5 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)
Other Hallucinogens	Yes	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)
	No	3,041 (100%)	3,042 (100%)	3,043 (100%)	3,044 (100%)
	Unknown	5 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)
Ketamine	No	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)	3,046 (100%)
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)

All Dynamic children including those from multiple gestations (twins/triplets, etc) are included in above table. Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/matsubst.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 15: Maternal Substance Use During Pregnancy in SMARTT Static Cohort

		Trimester					
		Any Trimester (N=1035)	First (N=1035)	Second (N=1035)	Third (N=1035)		
Any Substance Use	Yes	275 (27%)	243 (23%)	172 (17%)	138 (13%)		
	No	760 (73%)	792 (77%)	863 (83%)	897 (87%)		
Tobacco	Yes	197 (19%)	185 (18%)	132 (13%)	108 (10%)		
	No	838 (81%)	845 (82%)	898 (87%)	922 (89%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	5 (0%)	5 (0%)	5 (0%)		
Alcohol	Yes	72 (7%)	63 (6%)	27 (3%)	15 (1%)		
	No	963 (93%)	970 (94%)	1,006 (97%)	1,018 (98%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
Marijuana	Yes	66 (6%)	60 (6%)	40 (4%)	26 (3%)		
	No	969 (94%)	974 (94%)	994 (96%)	1,008 (97%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
Cocaine	Yes	26 (3%)	21 (2%)	12 (1%)	5 (0%)		
	No	1,009 (97%)	1,013 (98%)	1,022 (99%)	1,029 (99%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
Antidepressants	Yes	27 (3%)	19 (2%)	17 (2%)	16 (2%)		
	No	1,008 (97%)	1,013 (98%)	1,015 (98%)	1,016 (98%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)	3 (0%)		
Pain Medications	Yes	33 (3%)	18 (2%)	14 (1%)	13 (1%)		
	No	1,002 (97%)	1,015 (98%)	1,019 (98%)	1,020 (99%)		
	Unknown	0 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
Methadone	Yes	14 (1%)	14 (1%)	14 (1%)	13 (1%)		
	No	1,020 (99%)	1,020 (99%)	1,020 (99%)	1,021 (99%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
Heroin	Yes	7 (1%)	5 (0%)	4 (0%)	4 (0%)		
	No	1,027 (99%)	1,028 (99%)	1,029 (99%)	1,029 (99%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
Sedative	Yes	7 (1%)	5 (0%)	4 (0%)	2 (0%)		
	No	1,028 (99%)	1,030 (100%)	1,031 (100%)	1,033 (100%)		
Methamphetamines	Yes	4 (0%)	4 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
	No	1,031 (100%)	1,031 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)		
Ecstasy	Yes	2 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)		

_		Trimester					
		Any Trimester (N=1035)	First (N=1035)	Second (N=1035)	Third (N=1035)		
-	No	1,033 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,035 (100%)		
PCP	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
	No	1,032 (100%)	1,032 (100%)	1,032 (100%)	1,032 (100%)		
	Unknown	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
Opium	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
	No	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)		
Other Drug	Yes	5 (0%)	3 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
	No	1,030 (100%)	1,032 (100%)	1,033 (100%)	1,033 (100%)		
Stimulants	No	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
Barbiturates	Yes	5 (0%)	3 (0%)	2 (0%)	2 (0%)		
	No	1,030 (100%)	1,032 (100%)	1,033 (100%)	1,033 (100%)		
Amphetamines	No	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)		
Inhalants	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
	No	1,033 (100%)	1,033 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
LSD	Yes	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
	No	1,033 (100%)	1,033 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		
Other Hallucinogens	No	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)	1,035 (100%)		
Ketamine	No	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)	1,034 (100%)		
	Unknown	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)		

All Static children including those from multiple gestations (twins/triplets, etc) are included in above table.

Created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/matsubst.sas on April 14, 2020

Table 16: Maternal ARV Exposure by Birth Year, Static and Dynamic Cohorts Combined

Year of birth	At conception	During pregnancy	At labor and delivery only	No ARV during pregnancy, labor or delivery	Unknown ARV use during pregnancy	Total *
1995	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
1996	2 (5%)	33 (89%)	2 (5%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	37
1997	3 (10%)	27 (90%)	1 (3%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	30
1998	10 (19%)	48 (92%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (4%)	52
1999	15 (21%)	66 (93%)	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	1 (1%)	71
2000	21 (23%)	87 (94%)	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	93
2001	24 (24%)	91 (89%)	5 (5%)	4 (4%)	2 (2%)	102
2002	35 (34%)	98 (94%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)	104
2003	35 (28%)	117 (95%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	4 (3%)	123
2004	43 (37%)	108 (93%)	4 (3%)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	116
2005	45 (35%)	123 (97%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	127
2006	48 (30%)	153 (95%)	3 (2%)	3 (2%)	2 (1%)	161
2007	70 (30%)	223 (94%)	6 (3%)	1 (0%)	6 (3%)	236
2008	97 (27%)	337 (95%)	8 (2%)	2 (1%)	7 (2%)	354
2009	102 (32%)	314 (98%)	1 (0%)	2 (1%)	5 (2%)	322
2010	84 (32%)	258 (98%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)	263
2011	96 (37%)	259 (99%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	262
2012	98 (42%)	228 (97%)	1 (0%)	1 (0%)	5 (2%)	235
2013	107 (41%)	255 (97%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	7 (3%)	264
2014	112 (44%)	238 (93%)	1 (0%)	3 (1%)	13 (5%)	255
2015	121 (48%)	249 (99%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	251
2016	122 (54%)	223 (99%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	225
2017	96 (51%)	184 (97%)	4 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	189
2018	105 (51%)	201 (99%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	204
2019	103 (53%)	182 (94%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	9 (5%)	193
2020	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1
Total	1594 (37%)	4108 (96%)	50 (1%)	36 (1%)	82 (2%)	4276

Includes participants who were born at least three months prior to current data download. Conception time was defined as 14 days after the first date of the last menstrual period.

^{*} Total = Sum of number of participants who received ARVs during pregnancy, at labor and delivery only, never during pregnancy/labor/delivery, and those with unknown ARV use during pregnancy.

100% 80% Percent exposed 60% 40% 20% 0% 250 225 188 202 184 30 91 100 100 119 115 125 160 230 347 317 260 260 230 257 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 Year of birth Any NRTI Abacavir Zidovudine Stavudine Lamivudine Didanosine Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate - Zalcitabine Emtricitabine → Tenofovir Alafenamide Fumarate

Figure 1: In Utero NRTI Exposures by Year of Birth

Number above the x-axis represents the number of children with in utero ARV exposure data available




Figure 2: In Utero NNRTI Exposures by Year of Birth

Number above the x-axis represents the number of children with in utero ARV exposure data available

100% 80% Percent exposed 60% 40% 20% 0% 30 50 70 91 100 100 119 115 125 160 230 347 317 260 260 230 257 242 250 225 188 202 184 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 Year of birth Any PI Darunavir Tipranivir Lopinavir/r Indinavir Saquinavir RTV w/o another PI Nelfinavir Amprenavir Atazanavir -Fosamprenavir RTV with another PI

Figure 3: In Utero PI Exposures by Year of Birth

Number above the x-axis represents the number of children with in utero ARV exposure data available





Figure 4: In Utero FI/II Exposures by Year of Birth

Number above the x-axis represents the number of children with in utero ARV exposure data available

Figure 5: Five Most Common in Utero ARV Regimens by Year of Birth



Number above the x-axis represents the number of children with detailed timing of in utero ARV exposure available

Figures 1 to 5 were created by: /home/phacs/actgPH100/monitoring/detlprogs/arvfigs.sas